HCW/16/22 East Devon Highways and Traffic Orders Committee 18 March 2016

# Proposals for the delivery of an annual local Waiting Restrictions Programme

Report of the Head of Highways, Capital Development and Waste

Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

### Recommendation: It is recommended that:

- (a) work to date on the annual waiting restrictions programme and the prioritisation process applied is noted;
- (b) waiting restriction schemes are progressed through advertising and sealing of subsequent Orders in priority order as presented in Appendix I, subject to funding being agreed by Cabinet;
- (c) specific detail of individual schemes are developed with the locally effected County Member prior to advertising.

# 1. Summary

The County Council regularly receives requests for waiting restrictions to be introduced or amended. These can be difficult to deliver due to resource and funding pressures which in turn can have a negative impact on the County Council's relationship with local communities.

Recognising this difficulty, a managed process has been developed to deliver an annual local programme for each HATOC area for the funding and delivery of waiting restriction schemes.

The agreed process is set out in this report for Members for information, along with the proposed programme for this Committee's area for approval.

The funding to take forward the schemes is subject to Cabinet decision as part of the wider highways budget setting process.

### 2. Background/Introduction

The proposed process was reported to this Committee in the last round of meetings and in subsequent months officers have refined the process as follows:

- Existing lists of requests from Local Members and local communities have been collated.
  Where lists did not exist (due to requests having been dealt with in other traffic
  management review projects) County Members have been contacted to ensure there
  were no outstanding matters.
- Officers have reviewed requests and assessed cost, legal compliance, compatibility with current parking strategy, wider impacts and any significant ongoing revenue implications.

- A prioritisation tool has been developed to consider the following elements to score positively:
  - Community Benefit/Support
  - Positive effect on congestion and safety
  - Maintenance Category (A roads score highest)
  - Assists in the delivery of on-street parking enforcement

With the following receiving a negative score or variable score:

- Likelihood of parking displacement to other areas
- Potential for objections
- Cost (Higher costs score lower)
- Deliverability (Pass/Fail)(eg affordable, potential for Public Enquiry, can signs/lines be placed etc).

Proposed schemes identified as beneficial to the network, which are in line with Policy and identified as deliverable and non-controversial are presented in priority order in Appendix I.

Each proposal has a notional value assigned to it so the Committee can consider what may be delivered dependant on the funding formula agreed by Cabinet. It is reasonable to assume that each HATOC will be allocated between £5,000 and £15,000, from a total budget of £100,000 for 16/17.

Additional top-up funding can be provided by Members from their Locality Budget or Parish Councils for eligible schemes, however inclusion in the programme must remain dependant on staffing resource being available to carry out design work.

Once funding is agreed by Cabinet, the programme will be fixed and Officers will plan delivery of the in-year programme. Progress updates will be provided at future Committee meetings.

# 3. Proposal

Approval is sought for the 16/17 programme for this Committee's area. It is proposed that the schemes identified in Appendix I form the programme working in priority order from top to bottom to suit funding to be agreed by Cabinet.

Whilst it would not be proposed to remove any proposals from the list in favour of alternatives, at this stage top-up funding can be offered by Members or Parish Councils for additional eligible schemes.

Once funding is agreed a finalised list will be circulated by email to Members for information.

The proposals would then be designed and the associated Traffic Order drafted, to be advertised following consultation on the detailed design with the locally effected County Members and Committee Chair.

### 4. Consultations/Representations/Technical Data

The project focuses on requests previously made by Members and communities. These have been reviewed by Officers and identified as beneficial to the network, in line with Policy as deliverable and non-controversial.

With Member support, it is proposed to proceed to advertising a Traffic Order for public consultation.

### 5. Financial Considerations

There will be a cost to the Council in advertising a new Traffic Order for each Committee area; this will be approximately £1,500. In addition the costs of any changes to signing or lining will be attributed to that Order.

Each proposal as presented in Appendix I has an approximate value assigned to it so this Committee can consider what may be delivered dependant on the funding formula agreed by Cabinet. It is reasonable to assume that each HATOC will be allocated between £5,000 and £15,000, from a total budget of £100,000.

Assuming resource availability top-up funding can be provided by Members or Parish Councils for eligible schemes.

## 6. Sustainability Considerations

There is not considered to be any sustainability issue neutral impact.

# 7. Carbon Impact Considerations

There is not considered to be any carbon emission effect neutral impact.

## 8. Equality Considerations

There is not considered to be any equality effect neutral impact.

#### 9. Legal Considerations

Any changes to parking restrictions will require a new Traffic Order. In order to minimise cost, one Traffic Order will need to be advertised for each HATOC area, and any objections considered.

As care has been given to ensuring proposals are beneficial and non-controversial it is not anticipated that significant objections would be received. Therefore it is proposed that any objections received will be dealt with under delegated powers in consultation with the effected local County Member and Committee Chair.

If significant objection is received for any specific site, it is advised that site is dropped from the programme for this financial year so that progress of the wider programme is not impeded.

### 10. Risk Management Considerations

This proposal has been assessed and all necessary safeguards or actions have been included to safeguard the Council's position.

There is a reputational risk to not addressing parking issues raised by communities and Members.

# 11. Public Health Impact

There is not considered to be any public health impact.

### 12. Reason for Recommendation/Conclusion

In order to deliver a programme of works for 16/17 officers will focus on the agreed local programme. Any additional non-safety critical requests arising during the year will be considered locally for inclusion as part of a future years programme.

Agreement is sought for the schemes to be progressed in priority order as presented in Appendix I, to match funding agreed by Cabinet.

David Whitton Head of Highways, Capital Development and Waste

**Electoral Divisions: All** 

Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers

Contact for enquiries: Chris Rook

Room No: ABG Lucombe House, County Hall, Exeter. EX2 4QD

Tel No: (01392) 382112

Background Paper Date File Ref.

Nil

cr040316edh sc/cr/proposals annual local waiting restrictions programme 02 090316

# Appendix I To HCW/16/22

Location	What is being proposed	Parish/Town	County Councillor	Is the Restriction Deliverable? If No scheme will not be progressed.	Safety (+ve)	Maintenance category	Reduce Congestion (+ve)	Community Benefit (+ve)	Displacement (- ve)	Likely Community Objections (-ve)	Anticipated Work Costs	Disruptive Parking	Can Scheme be Delivered without Additional Works?	Are there parking restrictions in close proximity?	Total
Brook Street	Replace Access Protection Marking with Double Yellow Lines to maintain gap where	Ottery St Mary	Claire Wright	Yes	Yes	9	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	17
Govers Meadow	Double yellow lines at junction with South Street to ensure free flow of vehicles at	Colyton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	17
King Edward Road	Double Yellow Lines on both sides of bend outside Sunnydene	Axminster	Andrew Moulding	Yes	No	4	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	15
Lyme Close	Double Yellow Lines to ensure free passage of vehicles and assist emergency services	Axminster	Andrew Moulding	Yes	Yes	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	15
King Street	Double Yellow Lines to allow access to	Colyton	Sara Randall	Yes	No	6	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	13
All Saints Road	Double Yellow Lines from junction with Radway Place for 100-150 metres and change times of Single Yellow Lines restriction	Sidmouth	Stuart Hughes	Yes	No	6	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	13
Townsend Road	Double Yellow Lines at coach park access	Beer	Jim Knight	Yes	No	9	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
South Parade	No loading lines on Double Yellow Lines where the road narrows	Budleigh Salterton	Christine Channon	Yes	No	5	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	12
Slade Road	Double Yellow Lines on junction with Chineway/ Yonder Street and 20-25m	Ottery St Mary	Claire Wright	Yes	No	8	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
Yonder Street	Double Yellow Lines for 10 metres on west side of junction with Yonder Close	Ottery St Mary	Claire Wright	Yes	No	6	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
Chapel Lane	Replace Access Protection Marking at junction with Sandhill Street with Double	Ottery St Mary	Claire Wright	Yes	No	9	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
Sidford Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines from Newlands Road onto Sidford Road	Sidmouth	Stuart Hughes	Yes	No	5	Yes	No	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
West Street	Extension of loading bay and removal of limited waiting	Axminster	Andrew Moulding	Yes	No	4	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	11
The Lawn	No loading lines on Double Yellow Lines outside church entrance	Budleigh Salterton	Christine Channon	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
West Hill	Double Yellow Lines at entrance to Meadow Road	Budleigh Salterton	Christine Channon	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Sidmouth Road	Double Yellow Lines to prevent inappropriate parking	Colyton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
King Street	Double Yellow Lines on approach to junction with Sidmouth Road to prevent obstructive	Colyton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	6	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Streamers Meadow	Extend Double Yellow Lines at junction with Livermore Road	Haniton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Silver Street	Remove Double Yellow Lines to allow extra parking for Tucker Court	Honiton	Paul Diviani	Yes	No	6	Yes	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	11
Livermore Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines from junction with Littletown Road by 10 metres until the droppoed kerb	Honiton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Keegan Close	10 metres of Double Yellow Lines on one side of the road and at juction with hospital entrance	Ottery St Mary	Claire Wright	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Higher Spring Gardens	Double Yellow Lines on junction with Longdogs Lane to allow for pedestrian	Ottery St Mary	Claire Wright	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Chapel Hill	Double Yellow Lines to protect visibility at junction	Budleigh Salterton	Christine Channon	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Leas Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines on south east side by 15 metres	Budleigh Salterton	Christine Channon	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
The Grove	Double Yellow Lines at junction with Vicarage Road to prevent obstructive parking	Sidmouth	Stuart Hughes	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	11
Silver Street	Change Single Yellow Lines to Double Yellow Lines as current restriction being ignored	Haniton	Paul Diviani	Yes	No	6	No	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	10

Location	What is being proposed	Parish/Town	County Councillor	Is the Restriction Deliverable? If No scheme will not be progressed.	Safety (+ve)	Maintenance category	Reduce Congestion (+ve)	Community Benefit (+ve)	Displacement (- ve)	Likely Community Objections (-ve)	Anticipated Work Costs	Disruptive Parking	Can Scheme be Delivered without Additional Works?	Are there parking restrictions in close proximity?	Total
Winters Lane	Double Yellow Lines both sides of the junction towards Franklea Close for approx. 90m	Ottery St Mary	Claire Wright	Yes	No	9	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	10
Yonder Street	Limited waiting bay to help turnover of vehicles in the street and double yellow lines to allow access to numbers 4-6	Ottery St Mary	Claire Wright	Yes	No	6	No	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	10
Burgmanns Hill	Extend Double Yellow Lines from Greenhill Avenue to the access to Public Right Of Way	Lympstone	Bernard Hughes	Yes	No	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	10
High Street	Double Yellow Lines on junction with Chestnut Crescent to replace Single Yellow Lines	Stoke Canon	Peter Bowden	Yes	No	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	10
Causeway	Extend Double Yellow Lines for 12 metres to prevent parking next to central traffic island	Beer	Jim Knight	Yes	No	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
Durham Way	75 metres of Double Yellow Lines in turning head to stop obstructive parking and allow for HGV's to turn	Honiton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
Court Lane	Extend Double Yellow Lines by 5 metres to prevent parking obstruction	Seaton	Jim Knight	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
Beer Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines from Old Beer Road around junction into Beer Road	Seaton	Jim Knight	Yes	No	5	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
Westfield Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines on west side to protect forward visibility	Budleigh Salterton	Christine Channon	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Tucker Court	Amend Double Yellow Lines to allow additional parking	Honiton	Paul Diviani	Yes	No	10	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	9
Govers Meadow	Extend double yellow lines at junction with Dolphin Street	Colyton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
Hillside Road	Double Yellow Lines at junctions with Kestell Road and Southway	Sidmouth	Stuart Hughes	Yes	No	9	No	No	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
King Street	Double Yellow Lines at juntion with private road that leads to Turlings Farm to prevent obstructive parking	Colyton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	6	No	No	No	No	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	8
Bath Close	Double Yellow Lines on east side to allow residents to turn into driveways	Feniton	Claire Wright	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	No	8
Devonshire Road	Single Yellow Lines opposite public weighbridge entrance	Honiton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Duchy Road	Single Yellow Lines on one side to allow HGV access	Honiton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
St Cyres Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines into St Cyres Road from Northcote Lane junction to allow	Honiton	Paul Diviani	Yes	No	6	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Devonshire Road	Double Yellow Lines at entrance to business to allow HGV's to turn in	Honiton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Seaton Down Road	Removal of Double Yellow Lines and addition of Double Yellow Lines in Ryalls Court	Seaton	Jim Knight	Yes	No	6	No	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	8
Chambers Close	Double Yellow Lines to prevent obstruction at entrance to community centre	Sidmouth	Stuart Hughes	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	No	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	No	8
East Terrace	Double Yellow Lines to ensure free passage of vehicles	Budleigh Salterton	Christine Channon	Yes	No	9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Long Meadow Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines by Bakers Cottages and by the Paddocks	Lympstone	Bernard Hughes	Yes	No	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	8

Location	What is being proposed	Parish/Town	County Councillor	Is the Restriction Deliverable? If No scheme will not be progressed.	Safety (+ve)	Maintenance category	Reduce Congestion (+ve)	Community Benefit (+ve)	Displacement (- ve)	Likely Community Objections (-ve)	Anticipated Work Costs	Disruptive Parking	Can Scheme be Delivered without Additional Works?	Are there parking restrictions in close proximity?	Total
Church Road	Extend Double Yellow Lines by The Lodge to The Dairy (Meadowgate)	Lympstone	Bernard Hughes	Yes	No	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
	Double Yellow Lines to prevent obstructive parking & blocking of footway	Honiton	Sara Randall Johnson	Yes	No	9	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
16-2 MOV 12-	Extend Double Yellow Lines around junction from Seaton Down Hill	Seaton	Jim Knight	Yes	No	9	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Lyme Road (B3165)	Double Yellow Lines on both sides of road from junction with Glebelands to junction	Uplyme	Jim Knight	Yes	No	5	Yes	No	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	No	7
School Hill	Extend Double Yellow Lines to the top of the road	Lympstone	Bernard Hughes	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Harenath Road	Add 2 limited waiting bays and extend loading bay	Seaton	Jim Knight	Yes	No	7	No	Yes	No	Yes	<£500	No	Yes	Yes	6
Riverside Way	Double Yellow Lines at junction into workshop and at entrance to road	Seaton	Jim Knight	Yes	No	9	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
	Parking restrictions on one side of the road to allow access	Axminster	Andrew Moulding	Yes	No	9	No	No	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	5
Sid Park Road	Double Yellow Lines on corner on juction with Temple Street	Sidmouth	Stuart Hughes	Yes	No	9	No	No	Yes	Yes	<£500	Yes	Yes	Yes	5
Cooks Mead	Double Yellow Lines on junction with Lyme Road	Uplyme	Jim Knight	Yes	No	8	No	No	Yes	No	<£500	Yes	Yes	No	4
Auction Place	Double Yellow Lines on one side of the roads to allow for access	Axminster	Andrew Moulding	Yes	No	10	No	No	Yes	Yes	<£500	No	Yes	No	0
Chambers Close	Double Yellow Lines on one side of the road to prevent obstructive parking	Sidmouth	Stuart Hughes	Yes	No	9	No	No	Yes	Yes	<£500	No	Yes	No	0